

p -Laplacian problems involving critical Hardy-Sobolev exponents*

Kanishka Perera

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Florida Institute of Technology
Melbourne, FL 32901, USA
kperera@fit.edu

Wenming Zou

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Tsinghua University
Beijing 100084, China
wzou@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

Abstract

We prove existence, multiplicity, and bifurcation results for p -Laplacian problems involving critical Hardy-Sobolev exponents. Our results are mainly for the case $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ and extend results in the literature for $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$. In the absence of a direct sum decomposition, we use critical point theorems based on a cohomological index and a related pseudo-index.

1 Introduction

Consider the critical p -Laplacian problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)-2}}{|x|^s} u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ containing the origin, $1 < p < N$, $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $0 < s < p$, and $p^*(s) = (N - s)p/(N - p)$ is the critical Hardy-Sobolev exponent. In [6],

**MSC2010*: Primary 35J92, 35B33, Secondary 35J20

Key Words and Phrases: p -Laplacian problems, critical Hardy-Sobolev exponents, existence, multiplicity, bifurcation, critical point theory, cohomological index, pseudo-index

Ghoussoub and Yuan showed, among other things, that this problem has a positive solution when $N \geq p^2$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, where $\lambda_1 > 0$ is the first eigenvalue of the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

In the present paper we mainly consider the case $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$. Our existence results are the following.

Theorem 1.1. *If $N \geq p^2$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, then problem (1.1) has a positive ground state solution.*

Theorem 1.2. *If $N \geq p^2$ and $\lambda > \lambda_1$ is not an eigenvalue of problem (1.2), then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.*

Theorem 1.3. *If*

$$(N - p^2)(N - s) > (p - s)p \quad (1.3)$$

and $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.

Remark 1.4. We note that (1.3) implies $N > p^2$.

Remark 1.5. In the nonsingular case $s = 0$, related results can be found in Degiovanni and Lancelotti [4] for the p -Laplacian and in Mosconi et al. [7] for the fractional p -Laplacian.

Weak solutions of problem (1.1) coincide with critical points of the C^1 -functional

$$I_\lambda(u) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{p} (|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p) - \frac{1}{p^*(s)} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} \right] dx, \quad u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Recall that I_λ satisfies the Palais-Smale compactness condition at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$, or the $(PS)_c$ condition for short, if every sequence $(u_j) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $I_\lambda(u_j) \rightarrow c$ and $I'_\lambda(u_j) \rightarrow 0$ has a convergent subsequence. Let

$$\mu_s = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx}{\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{p/p^*(s)}} \quad (1.4)$$

be the best constant in the Hardy-Sobolev inequality, which is independent of Ω (see [6, Theorem 3.1.(1)]). It was shown in [6, Theorem 4.1.(2)] that I_λ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all

$$c < \frac{p-s}{(N-s)p} \mu_s^{(N-s)/(p-s)}$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. We will prove Theorems 1.1 – 1.3 by constructing suitable minimax levels below this threshold for compactness. When $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, we will show that the infimum of I_λ on the Nehari manifold is below this level. When $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, I_λ no longer has the mountain pass geometry and a linking type argument is needed. However, the classical linking theorem cannot be used here since the nonlinear operator $-\Delta_p$ does not have linear eigenspaces. We will use a nonstandard linking construction based on sublevel sets as in Perera and Szulkin [11] (see also Perera et al. [9, Proposition 3.23]). Moreover, the standard sequence of eigenvalues of $-\Delta_p$ based on the genus does not give enough information about the structure of the sublevel sets to carry out this construction. Therefore, we will use a different sequence of eigenvalues introduced in Perera [8] that is based on a cohomological index.

For $1 < p < \infty$, eigenvalues of problem (1.2) coincide with critical values of the functional

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx}, \quad u \in \mathcal{M} = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the class of symmetric subsets of $\mathcal{M}$, let $i(M)$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -cohomological index of $M \in \mathcal{F}$ (see section 2.1), and set

$$\lambda_k := \inf_{M \in \mathcal{F}, i(M) \geq k} \sup_{u \in M} \Psi(u), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$ is a sequence of eigenvalues of (1.2) and

$$\lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1} \implies i(\Psi^{\lambda_k}) = i(\mathcal{M} \setminus \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}}) = k, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\Psi^a = \{u \in \mathcal{M} : \Psi(u) \leq a\}$ and $\Psi_a = \{u \in \mathcal{M} : \Psi(u) \geq a\}$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Perera et al. [9, Propositions 3.52 and 3.53]). We also prove the following bifurcation and multiplicity results for problem (1.1) that do not require $N \geq p^2$. Set

$$V_s(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{(N-p)s/(p-s)} dx,$$

and note that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \leq V_s(\Omega)^{(p-s)/(N-s)} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{p/p^*(s)} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \quad (1.6)$$

by the Hölder inequality.

Theorem 1.6. *If*

$$\lambda_1 - \frac{\mu_s}{V_s(\Omega)^{(p-s)/(N-s)}} < \lambda < \lambda_1,$$

then problem (1.1) has a pair of nontrivial solutions $\pm u^\lambda$ such that $u^\lambda \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \nearrow \lambda_1$.

Theorem 1.7. *If $\lambda_k \leq \lambda < \lambda_{k+1} = \dots = \lambda_{k+m} < \lambda_{k+m+1}$ for some $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$\lambda > \lambda_{k+1} - \frac{\mu_s}{V_s(\Omega)^{(p-s)/(N-s)}}, \quad (1.7)$$

then problem (1.1) has m distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions $\pm u_j^\lambda$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ such that $u_j^\lambda \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \nearrow \lambda_{k+1}$.

In particular, we have the following existence result that is new when $N < p^2$.

Corollary 1.8. *If*

$$\lambda_k - \frac{\mu_s}{V_s(\Omega)^{(p-s)/(N-s)}} < \lambda < \lambda_k$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.

Remark 1.9. We note that $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_s/V_s(\Omega)^{(p-s)/(N-s)}$. Indeed, let $\varphi_1 > 0$ be an eigenfunction associated with λ_1 . Then

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi_1|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} \varphi_1^p dx} \geq \frac{\mu_s \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi_1^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{p/p^*(s)}}{\int_{\Omega} \varphi_1^p dx} \geq \frac{\mu_s}{V_s(\Omega)^{(p-s)/(N-s)}}$$

by (1.4) and (1.6).

Remark 1.10. Since $V_0(\Omega)$ is the volume of Ω , in the nonsingular case $s = 0$, Theorems 1.6 & 1.7 and Corollary 1.8 reduce to Perera et al. [10, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2], respectively.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Cohomological index

The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -cohomological index of Fadell and Rabinowitz [5] is defined as follows. Let W be a Banach space and let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of symmetric subsets of $W \setminus \{0\}$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\overline{A} = A/\mathbb{Z}_2$ be the quotient space of A with each u and $-u$ identified, let $f : \overline{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^\infty$ be the classifying map of $\overline{A}$, and let $f^* : H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \rightarrow H^*(\overline{A})$ be the induced homomorphism of the Alexander-Spanier cohomology rings. The cohomological index of A is defined by

$$i(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset \\ \sup \{m \geq 1 : f^*(\omega^{m-1}) \neq 0\} & \text{if } A \neq \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where $\omega \in H^1(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)$ is the generator of the polynomial ring $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega]$.

Example 2.1. The classifying map of the unit sphere S^{m-1} in $\mathbb{R}^m$, $m \geq 1$ is the inclusion $\mathbb{RP}^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{RP}^\infty$, which induces isomorphisms on the cohomology groups H^q for $q \leq m-1$, so $i(S^{m-1}) = m$.

The following proposition summarizes the basic properties of this index.

Proposition 2.2 (Fadell-Rabinowitz [5]). *The index $i : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ has the following properties:*

- (i₁) *Definiteness:* $i(A) = 0$ if and only if $A = \emptyset$.
- (i₂) *Monotonicity:* If there is an odd continuous map from A to B (in particular, if $A \subset B$), then $i(A) \leq i(B)$. Thus, equality holds when the map is an odd homeomorphism.
- (i₃) *Dimension:* $i(A) \leq \dim W$.
- (i₄) *Continuity:* If A is closed, then there is a closed neighborhood $N \in \mathcal{A}$ of A such that $i(N) = i(A)$. When A is compact, N may be chosen to be a δ -neighborhood $N_\delta(A) = \{u \in W : \text{dist}(u, A) \leq \delta\}$.
- (i₅) *Subadditivity:* If A and B are closed, then $i(A \cup B) \leq i(A) + i(B)$.
- (i₆) *Stability:* If SA is the suspension of $A \neq \emptyset$, obtained as the quotient space of $A \times [-1, 1]$ with $A \times \{1\}$ and $A \times \{-1\}$ collapsed to different points, then $i(SA) = i(A) + 1$.
- (i₇) *Piercing property:* If A , A_0 and A_1 are closed, and $\varphi : A \times [0, 1] \rightarrow A_0 \cup A_1$ is a continuous map such that $\varphi(-u, t) = -\varphi(u, t)$ for all $(u, t) \in A \times [0, 1]$, $\varphi(A \times [0, 1])$ is closed, $\varphi(A \times \{0\}) \subset A_0$ and $\varphi(A \times \{1\}) \subset A_1$, then $i(\varphi(A \times [0, 1]) \cap A_0 \cap A_1) \geq i(A)$.
- (i₈) *Neighborhood of zero:* If U is a bounded closed symmetric neighborhood of the origin, then $i(\partial U) = \dim W$.

2.2 Abstract critical point theorems

We will prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 using the following abstract critical point theorem proved in Yang and Perera [13], which generalizes the well-known linking theorem of Rabinowitz [12].

Theorem 2.3. *Let I be a C^1 -functional defined on a Banach space W , and let A_0 and B_0 be disjoint nonempty closed symmetric subsets of the unit sphere $S = \{u \in W : \|u\| = 1\}$ such that*

$$i(A_0) = i(S \setminus B_0) < \infty.$$

Assume that there exist $R > r > 0$ and $v \in S \setminus A_0$ such that

$$\sup I(A) \leq \inf I(B), \quad \sup I(X) < \infty,$$

where

$$A = \{tu : u \in A_0, 0 \leq t \leq R\} \cup \{R\pi((1-t)u + tv) : u \in A_0, 0 \leq t \leq 1\},$$

$$B = \{ru : u \in B_0\},$$

$$X = \{tu : u \in A, \|u\| = R, 0 \leq t \leq 1\},$$

and $\pi : W \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow S$, $u \mapsto u/\|u\|$ is the radial projection onto S . Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C(X, W) : \gamma(X) \text{ is closed and } \gamma|_A = id_A\}$, and set

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{u \in \gamma(X)} I(u).$$

Then

$$\inf I(B) \leq c \leq \sup I(X), \tag{2.1}$$

in particular, c is finite. If, in addition, I satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition, then c is a critical value of I .

Remark 2.4. The linking construction used in the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [13] has also been used in Perera and Szulkin [11] to obtain nontrivial solutions of p -Laplacian problems with nonlinearities that cross an eigenvalue. A similar construction based on the notion of cohomological linking was given in Degiovanni and Lancelotti [3]. See also Perera et al. [9, Proposition 3.23].

Now let I be an even C^1 -functional defined on a Banach space W , and let $\mathcal{A}^*$ denote the class of symmetric subsets of W . Let $r > 0$, let $S_r = \{u \in W : \|u\| = r\}$, let $0 < b \leq +\infty$, and let Γ denote the group of odd homeomorphisms of W that are the identity outside $I^{-1}(0, b)$. The pseudo-index of $M \in \mathcal{A}^*$ related to i , S_r , and Γ is defined by

$$i^*(M) = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma} i(\gamma(M) \cap S_r)$$

(see Benci [2]). We will prove Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 using the following critical point theorem proved in Yang and Perera [13], which generalizes Bartolo et al. [1, Theorem 2.4].

Theorem 2.5. *Let A_0 and B_0 be symmetric subsets of S such that A_0 is compact, B_0 is closed, and*

$$i(A_0) \geq k + m, \quad i(S \setminus B_0) \leq k$$

for some integers $k \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$. Assume that there exists $R > r$ such that

$$\sup I(A) \leq 0 < \inf I(B), \quad \sup I(X) < b,$$

where $A = \{Ru : u \in A_0\}$, $B = \{ru : u \in B_0\}$, and $X = \{tu : u \in A, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. For $j = k+1, \dots, k+m$, let

$$\mathcal{A}_j^* = \{M \in \mathcal{A}^* : M \text{ is compact and } i^*(M) \geq j\},$$

and set

$$c_j^* := \inf_{M \in \mathcal{A}_j^*} \max_{u \in M} I(u).$$

Then

$$\inf I(B) \leq c_{k+1}^* \leq \dots \leq c_{k+m}^* \leq \sup I(X),$$

in particular, $0 < c_j^* < b$. If, in addition, I satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all $c \in (0, b)$, then each c_j^* is a critical value of I and there are m distinct pairs of associated critical points.

Remark 2.6. Constructions similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [13] have also been used in Fadell and Rabinowitz [5] to prove bifurcation results for Hamiltonian systems and in Perera and Szulkin [11] to prove multiplicity results for p -Laplacian problems. See also Perera et al. [9, Proposition 3.44].

2.3 Some estimates

It was shown in [6, Theorem 3.1.(2)] that the infimum in (1.4) is attained by the family of functions

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{C_{N,p,s} \varepsilon^{(N-p)/(p-s)p}}{[\varepsilon + |x|^{(p-s)/(p-1)}]^{(N-p)/(p-s)}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, where $C_{N,p,s} > 0$ is chosen so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_\varepsilon^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = \mu_s^{(N-s)/(p-s)}.$$

Take a smooth function $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\eta(s) = 1$ for $s \leq 1/4$ and $\eta(s) = 0$ for $s \geq 1/2$, and set

$$u_{\varepsilon,\delta}(x) = \eta\left(\frac{|x|}{\delta}\right) u_\varepsilon(x), \quad v_{\varepsilon,\delta}(x) = \frac{u_{\varepsilon,\delta}(x)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx\right)^{1/p^*(s)}}, \quad \varepsilon, \delta > 0,$$

so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{v_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = 1. \quad (2.2)$$

The following estimates were obtained in [6, Lemma 11.1.(1),(3),(4)]:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon,\delta}|^p dx \leq \mu_s + C\varepsilon^{(N-p)/(p-s)}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_{\varepsilon,\delta}^p dx \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{C} \varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)} & \text{if } N > p^2 \\ \frac{1}{C} \varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)} |\log \varepsilon| & \text{if } N = p^2, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where $C = C(N, p, s, \delta) > 0$ is a constant. While these estimates are sufficient for the proof of Theorem 1.2, we will need the following finer estimates in order to prove Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.7. *There exists a constant $C = C(N, p, s) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon,\delta}|^p dx \leq \mu_s + C\Theta_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{(N-p)/(p-s)}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_{\varepsilon,\delta}^p dx \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{C} \varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)} & \text{if } N > p^2 \\ \frac{1}{C} \varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)} |\log \Theta_{\varepsilon,\delta}| & \text{if } N = p^2, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where $\Theta_{\varepsilon,\delta} = \varepsilon \delta^{-(p-s)/(p-1)}$.

Proof. We have

$$u_{\varepsilon,\delta}(\delta x) = \delta^{-(N-p)/p} u_{\Theta_{\varepsilon,\delta},1}(x)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_{\Theta_{\varepsilon,\delta},1}^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx.$$

So

$$v_{\varepsilon,\delta}(\delta x) = \delta^{-(N-p)/p} v_{\Theta_{\varepsilon,\delta},1}(x)$$

and hence

$$\nabla v_{\varepsilon,\delta}(\delta x) = \delta^{-N/p} \nabla v_{\Theta_{\varepsilon,\delta},1}(x).$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon, \delta}(x)|^p dx = \delta^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon, \delta}(\delta x)|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_{\Theta_{\varepsilon, \delta}, 1}(x)|^p dx$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_{\varepsilon, \delta}^p(x) dx = \delta^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_{\varepsilon, \delta}^p(\delta x) dx = \delta^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_{\Theta_{\varepsilon, \delta}, 1}^p(x) dx,$$

so (2.5) and (2.6) follow from (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. $\square$

Let i , $\mathcal{M}$, Ψ , and λ_k be as in the introduction, and suppose that $\lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1}$. Then the sublevel set Ψ^{λ_k} has a compact symmetric subset E of index k that is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C_{\text{loc}}^{1, \alpha}(\Omega)$ (see Degiovanni and Lancelotti [4, Theorem 2.3]). Let $\delta_0 = \text{dist}(0, \partial\Omega)$, take a smooth function $\theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\theta(s) = 0$ for $s \leq 3/4$ and $\theta(s) = 1$ for $s \geq 1$, and set

$$v_\delta(x) = \theta\left(\frac{|x|}{\delta}\right) v(x), \quad v \in E, \quad 0 < \delta \leq \frac{\delta_0}{2}.$$

Since $E \subset \Psi^{\lambda_k}$ is bounded in $C^1(B_{\delta_0/2}(0))$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_\delta|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0)} |\nabla v|^p dx + C \int_{B_\delta(0)} \left(|\nabla v|^p + \frac{|v|^p}{\delta^p} \right) dx \leq 1 + C\delta^{N-p} \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_\delta|^p dx \geq \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0)} |v|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx - \int_{B_\delta(0)} |v|^p dx \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_k} - C\delta^N, \quad (2.8)$$

where $C = C(N, p, s, \Omega, k) > 0$ is a constant. By (1.6) and (2.8),

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_\delta|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \geq \frac{1}{C} \quad (2.9)$$

if $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Now let $\pi : W_0^{1, p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $u \mapsto u / \|u\|$ be the radial projection onto $\mathcal{M}$, and set

$$w = \pi(v_\delta), \quad v \in E.$$

If $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small,

$$\Psi(w) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_\delta|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |v_\delta|^p dx} \leq \lambda_k + C\delta^{N-p} < \lambda_{k+1} \quad (2.10)$$

by (2.7) and (2.8), and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|w|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_{\delta}|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\delta}|^p dx \right)^{p^*(s)/p}} \geq \frac{1}{C} \quad (2.11)$$

by (2.7) and (2.9). Since $\text{supp } w = \text{supp } v_{\delta} \subset \Omega \setminus B_{3\delta/4}(0)$ and $\text{supp } \pi(v_{\varepsilon,\delta}) = \text{supp } v_{\varepsilon,\delta} \subset \overline{B_{\delta/2}(0)}$,

$$\text{supp } w \cap \text{supp } \pi(v_{\varepsilon,\delta}) = \emptyset. \quad (2.12)$$

Set

$$E_{\delta} = \{w : v \in E\}.$$

Lemma 2.8. *For all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$,*

- (i) $E_{\delta} \cap \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}} = \emptyset$,
- (ii) $i(E_{\delta}) = k$,
- (iii) $\pi(v_{\varepsilon,\delta}) \notin E_{\delta}$.

Proof. (i) follows from (2.10). By (i), $E_{\delta} \subset \mathcal{M} \setminus \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}}$ and hence

$$i(E_{\delta}) \leq i(\mathcal{M} \setminus \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}}) = k$$

by the monotonicity of the index and (1.5). On the other hand, since $E \rightarrow E_{\delta}$, $v \mapsto \pi(v_{\delta})$ is an odd continuous map,

$$i(E_{\delta}) \geq i(E) = k.$$

(ii) follows. (iii) is immediate from (2.12). □

3 Proofs

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1

All nontrivial critical points of I_{λ} lie on the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : I'_{\lambda}(u) u = 0 \right\}.$$

We will show that I_{λ} attains the ground state energy

$$c := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} I_{\lambda}(u)$$

at a positive critical point.

Since $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, $\mathcal{N}$ is closed, bounded away from the origin, and for $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and $t > 0$, $tu \in \mathcal{N}$ if and only if $t = t_u$, where

$$t_u = \left[\frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p) dx}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx} \right]^{(N-p)/(p-s)p}.$$

Moreover,

$$I_{\lambda}(t_u u) = \sup_{t>0} I_{\lambda}(tu) = \frac{p-s}{(N-s)p} \psi_{\lambda}(u)^{(N-s)/(p-s)},$$

where

$$\psi_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p - \lambda |u|^p) dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{p/p^*(s)}}.$$

By (2.2)–(2.4),

$$\psi_{\lambda}(v_{\varepsilon,\delta}) \leq \begin{cases} \mu_s - \frac{\varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)}}{C} + C\varepsilon^{(N-p)/(p-s)} & \text{if } N > p^2 \\ \mu_s - \frac{\varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)}}{C} |\log \varepsilon| + C\varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)} & \text{if } N = p^2, \end{cases}$$

and in both cases the last expression is strictly less than μ_s if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, so

$$c \leq I_{\lambda}(t_{v_{\varepsilon,\delta}} v_{\varepsilon,\delta}) < \frac{p-s}{(N-s)p} \mu_s^{(N-s)/(p-s)}.$$

Then I_{λ} satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition by [6, Theorem 4.1.(2)], and hence $I_{\lambda}|_{\mathcal{N}}$ has a minimizer u_0 by a standard argument. Then $|u_0|$ is also a minimizer, which is positive by the strong maximum principle.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will show that problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution as long as $\lambda > \lambda_1$ is not an eigenvalue from the sequence (λ_k) . Then we have $\lambda_k < \lambda < \lambda_{k+1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $\delta > 0$ so small that the first inequality in (2.10) implies

$$\Psi(w) \leq \lambda \quad \forall w \in E_{\delta} \tag{3.1}$$

and the conclusions of Lemma 2.8 hold. Then let $A_0 = E_\delta$ and $B_0 = \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}}$, and note that A_0 and B_0 are disjoint nonempty closed symmetric subsets of $\mathcal{M}$ such that

$$i(A_0) = i(\mathcal{M} \setminus B_0) = k \quad (3.2)$$

by Lemma 2.8 (i), (ii) and (1.5). Now let $R > r > 0$, let $v_0 = \pi(v_{\varepsilon, \delta})$, which is in $\mathcal{M} \setminus A_0$ by Lemma 2.8 (iii), and let A , B and X be as in Theorem 2.3.

For $u \in B_0$,

$$I_\lambda(ru) \geq \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k+1}} \right) r^p - \frac{r^{p^*(s)}}{p^*(s) \mu_s^{p^*(s)/p}}.$$

Since $\lambda < \lambda_{k+1}$, and $s < p$ implies $p^*(s) > p$, it follows that $\inf I_\lambda(B) > 0$ if r is sufficiently small.

Next we show that $I_\lambda \leq 0$ on A if R is sufficiently large. For $w \in A_0$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$I_\lambda(tw) \leq \frac{t^p}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\Psi(w)} \right) \leq 0$$

by (3.1). Now let $w \in A_0$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and set $u = \pi((1-t)w + tv_0)$. Clearly, $\|(1-t)w + tv_0\| \leq 1$, and since the supports of w and v_0 are disjoint by (2.12),

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|(1-t)w + tv_0|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = (1-t)^{p^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|w|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx + t^{p^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_0^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx.$$

In view of (2.11), and since

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{v_0^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{v_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon, \delta}|^p dx \right)^{p^*(s)/p}} \geq \frac{1}{C}$$

by (2.2) and (2.3) if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{|(1-t)w + tv_0|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx}{\|(1-t)w + tv_0\|^{p^*(s)}} \geq \frac{1}{C}.$$

Then

$$I_\lambda(Ru) \leq \frac{R^p}{p} - \frac{R^{p^*(s)}}{p^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \leq 0$$

if R is sufficiently large.

Now we show that

$$\sup I_\lambda(X) < \frac{p-s}{(N-s)p} \mu_s^{(N-s)/(p-s)} \quad (3.3)$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Noting that

$$X = \{\rho \pi((1-t)w + tv_0) : w \in E_\delta, 0 \leq t \leq 1, 0 \leq \rho \leq R\},$$

let $w \in E_\delta$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and set $u = \pi((1-t)w + tv_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq R} I_\lambda(\rho u) &\leq \sup_{\rho \geq 0} \left[\frac{\rho^p}{p} \left(1 - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx \right) - \frac{\rho^{p^*(s)}}{p^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right] \\ &= \frac{p-s}{(N-s)p} \psi_\lambda(u)^{(N-s)/(p-s)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\lambda(u) &= \frac{\left(1 - \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^p dx \right)^+}{\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{p/p^*(s)}} \\ &= \frac{\left(\int_\Omega \left[|(1-t) \nabla w + t \nabla v_0|^p - \lambda |(1-t)w + tv_0|^p \right] dx \right)^+}{\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|(1-t)w + tv_0|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{p/p^*(s)}} \\ &\leq \frac{(1-t)^p \left(1 - \lambda \int_\Omega |w|^p dx \right)^+ + t^p \left(1 - \lambda \int_\Omega |v_0|^p dx \right)^+}{\left((1-t)^{p^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{|w|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx + t^{p^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{|v_0|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{p/p^*(s)}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

since the supports of w and v_0 are disjoint. Since

$$1 - \lambda \int_\Omega |w|^p dx = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\Psi(w)} \leq 0$$

by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_\lambda(u) &\leq \psi_\lambda(v_0) \\
&= \frac{\left(\int_\Omega \left[|\nabla v_{\varepsilon,\delta}|^p - \lambda v_{\varepsilon,\delta}^p \right] dx \right)^+}{\left(\int_\Omega \frac{v_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{p/p^*(s)}} \\
&\leq \begin{cases} \mu_s - \frac{\varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)}}{C} + C\varepsilon^{(N-p)/(p-s)} & \text{if } N > p^2 \\ \mu_s - \frac{\varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)}}{C} |\log \varepsilon| + C\varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)} & \text{if } N = p^2 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

by (2.2)–(2.4). In both cases the last expression is strictly less than μ_s if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, so (3.3) follows from (3.4).

The inequalities (2.1) now imply that

$$0 < c < \frac{p-s}{(N-s)p} \mu_s^{(N-s)/(p-s)}.$$

Then I_λ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition by [6, Theorem 4.1.(2)], and hence c is a positive critical value of I_λ by Theorem 2.3.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

The case where $\lambda > \lambda_1$ is an eigenvalue, but not from the sequence (λ_k) , was covered in the proof of Theorem 1.2, so we may assume that $\lambda = \lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $\delta > 0$ so small that (2.10) and the conclusions of Lemma 2.8 hold, let A_0 , B_0 and v_0 be as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, and let A , B and X be as in Theorem 2.3.

As before, $\inf I_\lambda(B) > 0$ if r is sufficiently small, and

$$I_\lambda(R\pi((1-t)w + tv_0)) \leq 0 \quad \forall w \in A_0, 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

if $\Theta_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ is sufficiently small and R is sufficiently large. On the other hand,

$$I_\lambda(tw) \leq \frac{t^p}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_k}{\Psi(w)} \right) \leq CR^p \delta^{N-p} \quad \forall w \in A_0, 0 \leq t \leq R$$

by (2.10). It follows that $\sup I_\lambda(A) < \inf I_\lambda(B)$ if δ is also sufficiently small.

It only remains to verify (3.3) for suitably small ε and δ . Maximizing the last expression in (3.5) over $0 \leq t \leq 1$ gives

$$\psi_\lambda(u) \leq \left[\psi_\lambda(v_0)^{(N-s)/(p-s)} + \psi_\lambda(w)^{(N-s)/(p-s)} \right]^{(p-s)/(N-s)}. \quad (3.6)$$

By (2.2), (2.5), and (2.6),

$$\psi_\lambda(v_0) = \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega} [|\nabla v_{\varepsilon,\delta}|^p - \lambda_k v_{\varepsilon,\delta}^p] dx \right)^+}{\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{v_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{p/p^*(s)}} \leq \mu_s - \frac{\varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)}}{C} + C\Theta_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{(N-p)/(p-s)}, \quad (3.7)$$

and by (2.10) and (2.11),

$$\psi_\lambda(w) = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\lambda_k}{\Psi(w)} \right)^+}{\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|w|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{p/p^*(s)}} \leq C\delta^{N-p}. \quad (3.8)$$

Recalling that $\Theta_{\varepsilon,\delta} = \varepsilon \delta^{-(p-s)/(p-1)}$, if there exist $\alpha \in (0, (p-1)/(p-s))$ and a sequence $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ such that, for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_j$ and $\delta = \varepsilon_j^\alpha$, $\psi_\lambda(v_0) < \mu_s/3$, then $\psi_\lambda(u) \leq 2\mu_s/3$ for sufficiently large j by (3.6) and (3.8), which together with (3.4) gives the desired result. So we may assume that for all $\alpha \in (0, (p-1)/(p-s))$, $\psi_\lambda(v_0) \geq \mu_s/3$ for all sufficiently small ε and $\delta = \varepsilon^\alpha$. Since $(p-s)/(N-s) < 1$, then (3.6)–(3.8) with $\delta = \varepsilon^\alpha$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\lambda(u) &\leq \psi_\lambda(v_0) \left[1 + \left(\frac{\psi_\lambda(w)}{\psi_\lambda(v_0)} \right)^{(N-s)/(p-s)} \right] \\ &\leq \psi_\lambda(v_0) + C \psi_\lambda(w)^{(N-s)/(p-s)} \\ &\leq \mu_s - \varepsilon^{(p-1)p/(p-s)} \left[\frac{1}{C} - C\varepsilon^{(N-p)(N-s)(\alpha-\alpha_1)/(p-s)} - C\varepsilon^{(N-p)(\alpha_2-\alpha)/(p-1)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$0 < \alpha_1 := \frac{(p-1)p}{(N-p)(N-s)} < \frac{(N-p^2)(p-1)}{(N-p)(p-s)} =: \alpha_2 < \frac{p-1}{p-s}$$

by (1.3). Taking $\alpha \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ now gives the desired conclusion.

3.4 Proofs of Theorems 1.6 and 1.7

We only give the proof of Theorem 1.7. Proof of Theorem 1.6 is similar and simpler. By [6, Theorem 4.1.(2)], I_λ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for all

$$c < \frac{p-s}{(N-s)p} \mu_s^{(N-s)/(p-s)},$$

so we apply Theorem 2.5 with b equal to the right-hand side.

By Degiovanni and Lancelotti [4, Theorem 2.3], the sublevel set $\Psi^{\lambda_{k+m}}$ has a compact symmetric subset A_0 with

$$i(A_0) = k + m.$$

We take $B_0 = \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}}$, so that

$$i(\mathcal{M} \setminus B_0) = k$$

by (1.5). Let $R > r > 0$ and let A , B and X be as in Theorem 2.5. For $u \in \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}}$,

$$I_\lambda(ru) \geq \frac{r^p}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k+1}} \right) - \frac{r^{p^*(s)}}{p^*(s) \mu_s^{p^*(s)/p}}$$

by (1.4). Since $\lambda < \lambda_{k+1}$, and $s < p$ implies $p^*(s) > p$, it follows that $\inf I_\lambda(B) > 0$ if r is sufficiently small. For $u \in A_0 \subset \Psi^{\lambda_{k+1}}$,

$$I_\lambda(Ru) \leq \frac{R^p}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k+1}} \right) - \frac{R^{p^*(s)}}{p^*(s) \lambda_{k+1}^{p^*(s)/p} V_s(\Omega)^{(p-s)/(N-p)}}$$

by (1.6), so there exists $R > r$ such that $I_\lambda \leq 0$ on A . For $u \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(u) &\leq \frac{\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda}{p} \int_\Omega |u|^p dx - \frac{1}{p^*(s) V_s(\Omega)^{(p-s)/(N-p)}} \left(\int_\Omega |u|^p dx \right)^{p^*(s)/p} \\ &\leq \sup_{\rho \geq 0} \left[\frac{(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda) \rho}{p} - \frac{\rho^{p^*(s)/p}}{p^*(s) V_s(\Omega)^{(p-s)/(N-p)}} \right] \\ &= \frac{p-s}{(N-s)p} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda)^{(N-s)/(p-s)} V_s(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sup I_\lambda(X) \leq \frac{p-s}{(N-s)p} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda)^{(N-s)/(p-s)} V_s(\Omega) < \frac{p-s}{(N-s)p} \mu_s^{(N-s)/(p-s)}$$

by (1.7). Theorem 2.5 now gives m distinct pairs of (nontrivial) critical points $\pm u_j^\lambda$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ of I_λ such that

$$0 < I_\lambda(u_j^\lambda) \leq \frac{p-s}{(N-s)p} (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda)^{(N-s)/(p-s)} V_s(\Omega) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \nearrow \lambda_{k+1}. \quad (3.9)$$

Then

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|u_j^\lambda|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = \frac{(N-s)p}{p-s} \left[I_\lambda(u_j^\lambda) - \frac{1}{p} I'_\lambda(u_j^\lambda) u_j^\lambda \right] = \frac{(N-s)p}{p-s} I_\lambda(u_j^\lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

and hence $u_j^\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ also by (1.6), so

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j^\lambda|^p dx = p I_\lambda(u_j^\lambda) + \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_j^\lambda|^p dx + \frac{p}{p^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_j^\lambda|^{p^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \rightarrow 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.

References

- [1] P. Bartolo, V. Benci, and D. Fortunato. Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with “strong” resonance at infinity. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 7(9):981–1012, 1983.
- [2] Vieri Benci. On critical point theory for indefinite functionals in the presence of symmetries. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 274(2):533–572, 1982.
- [3] Marco Degiovanni and Sergio Lancelotti. Linking over cones and nontrivial solutions for p -Laplace equations with p -superlinear nonlinearity. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 24(6):907–919, 2007.
- [4] Marco Degiovanni and Sergio Lancelotti. Linking solutions for p -Laplace equations with nonlinearity at critical growth. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 256(11):3643–3659, 2009.
- [5] Edward R. Fadell and Paul H. Rabinowitz. Generalized cohomological index theories for Lie group actions with an application to bifurcation questions for Hamiltonian systems. *Invent. Math.*, 45(2):139–174, 1978.
- [6] N. Ghoussoub and C. Yuan. Multiple solutions for quasi-linear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev and Hardy exponents. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 352(12):5703–5743, 2000.
- [7] Sunra Mosconi, Kanishka Perera, Marco Squassina, and Yang Yang. The Brezis–Nirenberg problem for the fractional p -Laplacian. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 55(4):55:105, 2016.
- [8] Kanishka Perera. Nontrivial critical groups in p -Laplacian problems via the Yang index. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 21(2):301–309, 2003.
- [9] Kanishka Perera, Ravi P. Agarwal, and Donal O’Regan. *Morse theoretic aspects of p -Laplacian type operators*, volume 161 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [10] Kanishka Perera, Marco Squassina, and Yang Yang. Bifurcation and multiplicity results for critical p -Laplacian problems. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 47(1):187–194, 2016.

- [11] Kanishka Perera and Andrzej Szulkin. p -Laplacian problems where the nonlinearity crosses an eigenvalue. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 13(3):743–753, 2005.
- [12] Paul H. Rabinowitz. Some critical point theorems and applications to semilinear elliptic partial differential equations. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 5(1):215–223, 1978.
- [13] Yang Yang and Kanishka Perera. N -Laplacian problems with critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, to appear, [arXiv:1406.6242 \[math.AP\]](#).